Understanding global teleconnections of climate to regional model estimates of Amazon ecosystem carbon fluxes

CHRISTOPHER POTTER*, STEVEN KLOOSTER†, MICHAEL STEINBACH‡, PANG-NING TAN†, VIPIN KUMAR‡, SHASHI SHEKHAR‡ and CLAUDIO REIS DE CARVALHO§

*NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA, USA, †California State University Monterey Bay, Seaside, CA, USA, ‡University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA, §Embrapa Amazônia Oriental, Belém – Pará, Brazil

Abstract

We have investigated global teleconnections of climate to regional satellite-driven observations for prediction of Amazon ecosystem production, in the form of monthly estimates of net carbon exchange over the period 1982–1998 from the NASA–CASA (Carnegie–Ames–Stanford) biosphere model. This model is driven by observed surface climate and monthly estimates of vegetation leaf area index (LAI) and fraction of absorbed PAR (fraction of photosynthetically active radiation, FPAR) generated from the NOAA satellite advanced very high-resolution radiometer (AVHRR) and similar sensors. Land surface AVHRR data processing using modified moderate-resolution imaging spectroradiometer radiative transfer algorithms includes improved calibration for intra- and intersensor variations, partial atmospheric correction for gaseous absorption and scattering, and correction for stratospheric aerosol effects associated with volcanic eruptions. Results from our analysis suggest that anomalies of net primary production and net ecosystem production predicted from the NASA–CASA model over large areas of the Amazon region east of 60°W longitude are strongly correlated with the Southern Oscillation index. Extensive areas of the south-central Amazon show strong linkages of the FPAR and the NASA–CASA anomaly record to the Arctic Oscillation index, which help confirm a strong relation to southern Atlantic climate anomalies, with associated impacts on Amazon rainfall patterns. Processes are investigated for these teleconnections of global climate to Amazon ecosystem carbon fluxes and regional land surface climate.
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Introduction

The Amazon region includes the largest remaining tropical forest ecosystem on Earth. The Amazon rain forest accounts for about 10% of the world’s terrestrial productivity and vegetation biomass, and can play an important role in regulating the Earth’s carbon cycle and climate (Cox et al., 2000). Despite the Amazon’s potential importance for climate regulation, the precise pattern of terrestrial sources and sinks for CO2 and other ‘greenhouse gas’ compounds remain uncertain for the region. These large gaps in our knowledge still exist to a great degree because many impacts of land cover and geochemical controls related to surface climatology have not been understood in adequate detail to determine precise regional flux controls for biogenic trace gases.

In recognition of the changing environmental conditions in the Amazon basin, international collaboration between scientists from Brazil, the United States, and the European Union have led to the ‘Large Scale Atmospheric Biosphere Experiment in Amazonia’ (or LBA) (see http://lba.cptec.inpe.br/lba/eng/science.htm). The LBA has been designed to address a number of the major science questions that emerge from rapid
changes in land cover over the region. One primary goal of LBA is to understand the regulators of carbon exchange and energy balance in all Amazon ecosystems (LBA, 1996). A chief emphasis is to up-scale measurements to the region by integrating flux field measurements, process model predictions, and land surface characterizations derived from remote sensing. Within this integrated study context, it is important to understand the natural variability occurring within the Amazon region, particularly with respect to large-scale ocean–atmosphere–biosphere connections.

The influence of ocean surface patterns, such as those associated with the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), have been noted as significant global teleconnections for atmospheric circulation and land surface climate (Glantz et al., 1991). Teleconnection is a term used in climatological studies to describe near-simultaneous variation in climate and related processes over widely separated points on earth. There are different phases in climate phenomenon such as the ENSO, which is called El Niño in the warm phase and La Niña in the cold phase. ENSO warming at the sea surface, which related to ocean–atmosphere heat exchange, typically extends to about 30° N and 30° S latitude, with lags into continental land areas of several months.

Several previous studies have attempted to document the influence ENSO events on the net primary production (NPP) and annual carbon balance of Amazon forest ecosystems (Prentice & Lloyd, 1998; Tian et al., 1998; Potter et al., 2001; Foley et al., 2002). However, large-scale teleconnections between multiple climate indices and Amazon regional carbon fluxes have yet to be demonstrated, and may escape ready detection without the aid of spatial–temporal analysis tools designed specifically to uncover such associations, both weak and strong, between time series of climate index anomalies and spatially explicit estimates of carbon fluxes on the land. We also note that in a recent study applying principal component analysis to the Amazon climate data, Botta et al. (2002) showed that ENSO cycles explain only about 21% of the total variance in annual mean precipitation and temperature for the Amazon region. This implies that other global climate forces may be operating to drive ecosystem carbon cycling over substantial portions of the Amazon basin.

We report here on studies to identify and quantify teleconnections of ocean–atmosphere climate indices and terrestrial carbon fluxes for the Amazon region, as represented by monthly NPP and net ecosystem production (NEP) predicted using an ecosystem modeling approach that covers the period 1982–1998. A simulation model for NEP was used to generate these regional carbon fluxes, because the number of ground-based measurements of Amazon NEP and NPP is highly limited in space and time. Our specific objectives are to (1) use satellite observations to make assessments of seasonal and interannual vegetation dynamics in the Amazon during the 1980s and 1990s, in relation to major climate indices, and (2) understand the major teleconnections of climate to carbon cycling patterns and processes in the Amazon region, using EOS satellite data products to drive a model of NEP. To interpret our results, it is also necessary to integrate information on when and where anomalies in NPP and NEP controllers (land surface temperature and precipitation) are linked to similar patterns in the climate indices.

Global data and models

Several climate indices are of prime interest in this study of land teleconnections (Trenberth & Hurrell, 1994). We focus here primarily on the Southern Oscillation index (SOI) and the Arctic Oscillation (AO) index, and secondarily on two NINO indices. Correlations between these climate index anomalies and monthly gridded sea surface temperature (SST) (Bottomley et al., 1990; Reynolds et al., 2002) for 1982–1998 indicate the central areas of the ocean temperature record that can be most closely associated with each of the indices (Fig. 1). SOI is an indicator of atmospheric impacts of ENSO, computed as the standardized difference between sea level pressure (SLP) measured

![Fig. 1 Areas of SST represented by correlation values of r > 0.4 (Pearson’s coefficient) in association with the SOI, AO, NINO1+2, and NINO4 indices for the period 1982–1998. Each non-white pixel indicates a pixel location where the SST record shows a significant correlation with at least one of the climate indices, and the color of that pixel indicates which of the indices has the highest correlation. Over 65% of global non-ice sea coverage is represented by the four-color coverage.](image-url)
in Tahiti (17°S, 149°W) and Darwin, Australia (13°S, 131°E). The AO is derived from 1000 mb height anomalies poleward of 20°N (Thompson & Wallace, 1998). The NINO1 + 2 index is used to monitor SST over the eastern tropical Pacific, delineated by the area between 0°-10°S and 90°W-80°W. The NINO4 index is used to monitor SST over the area between 5°N-5°S and 160°E–150°W. Although the SOI is the most commonly used of ENSO indicators, it is sometimes a poor predictor of La Niña (cold phase) events, compared with the NINO indices. Hence, it is necessary to use several ENSO indicators to capture its global impacts.

The SOI and NINO indices are commonly used to document warm-phases in ENSO, which are often associated with above-average temperatures in the northwestern half of the North American continent, and below-average temperatures in the southeastern half (Trenberth & Hurrell, 1994; Klein et al., 1999; McCabe & Dettinger, 1999). There is also a pattern of the warm-phase ENSO associated with above-average precipitation over western coastal South America (Vuille et al., 2000), the southern US, and northern Mexico, plus below-average precipitation in south-central Africa, northeastern South America, parts of southern Asia and Australia, and in North America from the Canadian Rockies to the Great Lakes region.

The AO is closely related to the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO – measured between the Icelandic low (65°N, 22°W) and the Azores high pressure centers from 39°N, 9°W to 36°N, 6°W; Walker & Bliss, 1932), which, in its ‘high index’ warm phase can represent the persistence of above-average temperatures over North America and Europe, and below-average temperatures variations over North Africa and the Middle East. During winters when the AO index is high, anomalously low precipitation commonly occurs over the Canadian Arctic, central and southern Europe, the Mediterranean and Middle East. In contrast, anomalously high precipitation occurs from Iceland though Scandinavia (Hurrell, 1995).

For this analysis with climate index teleconnections, terrestrial NPP and NEP fluxes have been computed monthly (over the period 1982–1998) at a spatial resolution of 0.5° latitude–longitude using the NASA–CASA (Carnegie–Ames–Stanford) biosphere model (Potter, 1999; Potter et al., 1999). NASA–CASA is a numerical model of monthly fluxes of water, carbon, and nitrogen in terrestrial ecosystems. Our estimates of terrestrial NPP fluxes depend on inputs of global satellite observations for land surface properties and on gridded model drivers from interpolated weather station records (New et al., 2000) distributed across all the continental masses. Consequently, the NASA–CASA predictions of terrestrial NPP carbon fluxes are derived with no dependence whatsoever on climate index data, nor on atmospheric circulation model predictions of surface climate patterns.

Our fundamental approach to estimate terrestrial NPP is to define optimal metabolic rates for carbon fixation processes, and to adjust these rate values using factors related to the limiting effects of time varying solar radiation, air temperature (TEMP), precipitation (PREC) (New et al., 2000), predicted soil moisture, and land cover (DeFries & Townshend, 1994). Carbon (CO2) fixed by vegetation as NPP is estimated in the ecosystem model according to the time-varying (monthly mean) fraction of photosynthetically active radiation (FPAR) intercepted by plant canopies and a light utilization efficiency term (emax). This product is modified by stress factors for temperature (Ta) and moisture (W) that vary over time and space. The emax term is set uniformly at 0.39 g C (MJ)−1 PAR (Potter et al., 1993), a value that has been validated globally by comparing predicted annual NPP to more than 1900 field estimates of NPP (Fig. 2). In separate studies, interannual NPP fluxes from the CASA model were reported (Behrenfeld et al., 2001) and validated against

![Fig. 2](image-url) Comparison of annual observed NPP to predicted values from the NASA–CASA model (driven by 0.5° FPAR from the satellite AVHRR and climate means from New et al., 2000). The data set of more than 1900 observed NPP points was compiled for the Ecosystem Model-Data Intercomparison (EMDI) activity by the Global Primary Productivity Data Initiative (GPPDI) working groups of the International Geosphere Biosphere Program Data and Information System (IGBPDIS). Analysis of the residuals of this regression shows that scatter around the least squares regression line is due as much to uncertainties in scaling and inconsistencies in the ground-based measurements of NPP reported in sub-tropical ecosystems as to the prediction uncertainties represented in the NASA–CASA model. A more complete analysis of the residuals is presented in Potter et al. (2003).
multi-year estimates of NPP from field stations and tree rings (Malmström et al., 1997).

Our NASA–CASA model is designed to couple seasonal patterns of NPP to soil heterotrophic respiration \((R_h)\) of \(\text{CO}_2\). First-order decay equations simulate exchanges of decomposing plant residue (metabolic and structural fractions) at the soil surface. The model also simulates surface soil organic matter (SOM) fractions that presumably vary in age and chemical composition. Turnover of active (microbial biomass and labile substrates), slow (chemically protected), and passive (physically protected) fractions of the SOM are represented. NEP can be computed as NPP minus total \(R_h\) fluxes, excluding the effects of small-scale fires and other localized disturbances or vegetation regrowth patterns on carbon fluxes (Schimel et al., 2001).

Whereas previous versions of the NASA–CASA model (Potter et al., 1993, 1999) used a normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) to estimate FPAR, the current model version instead relies upon canopy radiative transfer algorithms (Knyazikhin et al., 1998), which are designed to generate improved spatially varying FPAR products as inputs to carbon flux calculations. These radiative transfer algorithms, developed for the MODIS (moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer) aboard the NASA Terra platform, account for attenuation of direct and diffuse incident radiation by solving a three-dimensional formulation of the radiative transfer process in vegetation canopies. Monthly gridded composite data from spatially varying channels 1 and 2 of the advanced very high-resolution radiometer (AVHRR) have been processed according to the MODIS radiative transfer algorithms and aggregated over the global land surface to 0.5° grid resolution, consistent with the NASA–CASA model driver data for climate variables.

**Land climate controls on ecosystem carbon fluxes**

In this analysis, the Amazon region has been defined broadly as the South American land area between the northwestern corner of 6°N, 77°W and the southeastern corner of 20°S and 45°W. Terrestrial NPP for this Amazon regional coverage was estimated by our NASA–CASA model to vary between 8.7 (in 1983) and 9.8 Pg C (in 1997) yr\(^{-1}\), with a seasonal anomaly range of about \(\pm 0.1\) Pg C month\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 3a). The model predicts a general increase in NPP for the region over this 17-year time period of 1982–1998.

The regional predicted NEP flux for atmospheric \(\text{CO}_2\) varied between an annual source (to the atmosphere) of \(-0.17\) Pg C yr\(^{-1}\) in 1983 to a sink (from the atmosphere) of \(+0.64\) Pg C yr\(^{-1}\) in 1989, with a seasonal anomaly range of up to \(\pm 0.1\) Pg C month\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 3b). Our NASA–CASA model results are consistent with the findings of McGuire et al. (2001) and Vukicevic et al. (2001) that in the tropical zones there is a net release of carbon to the atmosphere during El Niño years, and a net uptake during non El Niño years. This model result is illustrated in the comparison between annual NEP fluxes for 1997 and 1998 for the Amazon region (Fig. 4), the years that bounded the last major El Niño event of the century. For the relatively wet periods during 1997, predicted annual NEP flux was a net sink of 0.55 Pg C. For the El Niño event that started in late 1997, predicted annual NEP flux in 1998 was \(-0.03\) Pg C, mainly because of the conversion of carbon sinks to sources in the eastern portions of the basin.

Several previous studies of ecosystem modeling, namely, Kindermann et al. (1996), Tian et al. (1998), Prentice & Lloyd (1998), Asner et al. (2000), Potter et al. (2001), and Foley et al. (2002), have examined how interannual variations in climate affect the carbon balance of the Amazon basin. As in the present study, all of these models suggest that the net annual flux of carbon by the basin is significantly correlated to ENSO events. The Amazon basin is predicted to be a significant carbon sink during La Niña events, and a
carbon source during El Niño events. Moreover, most of these modeling studies conclude that major variations in the regional carbon balance are related chiefly to changes in precipitation. The average El Niño event is drier than normal, and the average La Niña period is wetter in northern Amazonia. In southern Amazonia, both El Niño and La Niña periods are drier than neutral conditions.

Association rule analysis can offer further insights into the types of dependencies that exist among variables within a large model data set (Goodman & Kruskal, 1954). Non-random associations between two or more NASA–CASA model variables are reported here using the $\chi^2$-test (Stockburger, 1998). $\chi^2$-values greater than 3.84 (degrees of freedom = 1) indicate a high probability ($P < 0.05$) of non-random association between anomalously low (LO) or anomalously high (HI) monthly events for TEMP or PREC with either NPP or NEP. We used an anomalous event threshold value of 1.5 standard deviations or greater from the long-term (1982–1998) monthly mean value. For our analysis, association patterns are reported below on the basis of frequency of occurrence within major global vegetation types (DeFries & Townshend, 1994).

The main result from this analysis is that below average PREC and above average TEMP can decrease predicted NPP and NEP in the Amazon region and in tropical ecosystems generally. Specifically, we find that one of the strongest non-random associations in our NASA–CASA results is that PREC-LO events co-occur with NPP-LO and with NEP-LO events in evergreen broadleaf forests, deciduous broadleaf forests, croplands, and grassland savannas (Fig. 5). These events occur mainly in drought-sensitive areas of tropical and sub-tropical zones, and possibly in areas of major wild fires that are associated with FPAR-LO events. We also find that TEMP-HI events co-occur with NPP-LO events for these same vegetation types, which can be another indicator of drought stress effects on plant carbon gains. It is possible, nevertheless, that the inferred TEMP-HI association with NPP-LO could be the result of statistical co-variation between high temperature and low precipitation across the region.

We also examined the associations between positive or negative anomalies in TEMP and anomalies in predicted $R_h$ fluxes of CO$_2$ from soils. Results suggest that above-average TEMP anomalies can lead to a decrease in predicted $R_h$ over the Amazon region and in moist tropical ecosystems generally. This association is most prominent in the northeastern section of the Amazon basin region (map results not shown). We hypothesize that decreases in soil moisture with higher TEMP conditions are responsible for this association with a decrease in predicted $R_h$ fluxes.

**Time series teleconnections**

As a first step in analysis of global teleconnections, we examined the underlying empirical relationships between land climate records, those used as input to the

---

**Fig. 4** Predicted annual NEP from the NASA–CASA model for the Amazon region in 1997 and 1998. Positive values (red) represent net sink fluxes of atmospheric CO$_2$ into terrestrial ecosystems, where negative values (blue) represent net source fluxes of atmospheric CO$_2$ from terrestrial ecosystems.
We address the questions of where and how are land surface temperature and precipitation time series used as model input variables correlated with ENSO and AO. Our analysis here covers a long-term (1958–1998) historical record to assess the impacts of ENSO and AO on the Amazon region, rather than concentrating on individual ENSO events of the past 20 years. By considering several decades of climate cycles, we can more accurately assess the impacts of climate events on the Amazon basin, and their statistical significance.

Serial correlation (i.e., autocorrelation) needs to be considered when testing significance of the association between two time series. We have determined the serial correlation of climate indices at all possible lag times up to 6 months. SOI anomalies have a low autocorrelation function ($\rho < 0.3$) at lag times greater than about 6 months (using index data from 1958–1995). The same is true for the NINO1+2 index anomalies. For the NAO/AO anomalies, the autocorrelation function is $<0.1$ at lag times greater than 3 months. For our predicted NPP anomalies, the autocorrelation function is $<0.1$ at lag times greater than 6 months. Based on these results, we accepted degrees of freedom (d.f.) for the climate index time series correlations with NPP fluxes to be d.f. = 32 (34 ‘seasons’ of 6 months duration in a 17-year window, minus 2 for a two-tailed test of significance). For the purposes of demonstrating a significant association with measured climate index values at d.f. = 32, Pearson’s correlation coefficient ($r$) $>0.34$ carries a relatively high confidence level of $P<0.05$. When dealing with long-term climate correlations and d.f. $>75$ (for roughly 40 years of global records), values of $r>0.2$ carry the same confidence level of $P<0.05$.

Like Mason & Goddard (2001) and Foley et al. (2002), we report on an analysis of the long-term climate data from the Climate Research Unit of the University of East Anglia, Norwich (New et al., 2000; hereinafter referred to as the CRU05 dataset). CRU05 is a global, monthly mean data set of TEMP, PREC, humidity, and cloudiness at $0.5^\circ$ by $0.5^\circ$ latitude/longitude resolution, for the period 1901–1995. The climate record is most reliable after the 1940s; we therefore restricted our analysis to the 40-year period between 1958 and 1998.

Correlations between the time series anomalies of the SOI, AO, NINO1+2, and NINO4 climate indices and 0.5° PREC or TEMP on land were identified. The first step in this analysis was the conversion of all time series to $Z$-score values, which can be used to specify the relative statistical location of each monthly value within the 40-year population distribution. The numerical

Fig. 5  Locations of co-occurrence between anomalously low (LO) monthly PREC and NASA–CASA predicted NEP from 1982 to 1998. An anomalous event threshold value was defined as 1.5 standard deviations or greater from the long-term (1982–1998) monthly mean value. Each non-white pixel indicates a location where NEP-LO co-occurs in the time series with PREC-LO and that the color of that pixel indicates the vegetation type at that location.
Z-score indicates the distance from the 40-year mean as the number of standard deviations above or below the mean. The difference between the t-statistic and the Z-score is that the t-test uses a sample standard deviation, whereas the Z-score uses population standard deviation.

Results show that CRU05 land TEMP records for the period 1958–1998 were strongly correlated with SOI throughout the entire Amazon region, with the exception of the extreme western portions of the Brazilian Amazon mainly located between 6°S and 14°S (Fig. 6a). El Niño events are systematically warmer and La Niña events are systematically cooler in the Amazon region than neutral years in the SOI record. CRU05 land TEMP records were strongly correlated with NINO4 across the entire northern hemisphere portion of the Amazon land region (map not shown). The only areas showing strong correlation of TEMP with either NINO1 + 2 or AO were located in the extreme northeastern corner of the Amazon region (maps not shown).

On a regional basis, land PREC correlations with all four climate indices were generally strongest during the northern hemisphere winter (December–January–February, DJF) and autumn (September–October–November, SON) months, compared with the spring (March–April–May, MAM) and summer (June–July–August, JJA) months (Trenberth & Hurrell, 1994; Hurrell, 1995). Results show that CRU05 land DJF PREC records for the period 1958–1998 were most strongly correlated with SOI in the northeastern Amazon region between 3°N and 7°S (Fig. 6b). Our SOI correlation results are consistent with those of Halpert & Ropelewski (1992), Mason & Goddard (2001), Marengo et al. (2001), and Foley et al. (2002), who reported that the average El Niño is dryer than neutral conditions in the Amazon region, while the average La Niña is wetter. CRU05 land PREC records were strongly correlated with both NINO1 + 2 and NINO4 indices in the northeastern corner of the Amazon region (maps not shown). The strongest DJF PREC correlations with AO were located the extreme western and southeastern portions of the Amazon region (Fig. 6c).

Turning to carbon flux correlations, we used matching monthly records for the period of 1982–1998 to investigate associations between the time series anomalies of the climate indices and predicted carbon fluxes on land from the NASA–CASA model. The 12-month running mean was computed to deseasonalize the NASA–CASA model time series. An example of the close association between SOI and predicted land carbon fluxes is shown in Fig. 7 for a location in the eastern Amazon (at 5°S, 50°W), in Pará about 100 km...
northwest of the city of Marabá. Both the SOI and modeled carbon fluxes show low points in 1982–1983, 1986–1987, 1992, and 1997–1998, with a time series correlation of \( r = 0.79 \) for SOI with NEP, and \( r = 0.85 \) for the correlation of SOI with NPP \( (p < 0.05) \). We find that a seasonal phase shift in climate index lead times of up to 6 months commonly improved correlations with the NPP and NEP time series anomalies. The 2–6 month lead between the climate indices and NEP results principally from phase differences between the climate indices and the model inputs (TEMP, PREC, and FPAR) used to generate NEP.

A marked similarity can be seen between the 12-month moving average PREC and predicted NPP for this location at 5° S, 50° W (Fig. 8), compared with the weaker relationship between the moving average FPAR and predicted NPP. This is most obvious in the periods after 1995, when FPAR steadily increases, while high interannual variability in PREC prevents NPP from following the same upward pattern as FPAR. Predicted \( R_h \) fluxes of CO₂ from soil at this location generally lag NPP responses to PREC by 1 or 2 years and are more variable from month to month or season to season within a year.
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An example of the association between AO and predicted land carbon fluxes is shown in Fig. 9 for a location in the southern Amazon (at 15°S, 55°W), in Mato Grosso about 100 km northeast of the city of Cuiabá. The AO index and NEP fluxes both show rapid increases in 1985–1986 and 1988–1989, which can be attributed to increasing precipitation and temperature on land during these transition periods. We find a time series correlation at this location of $r = 0.41$ ($P < 0.05$) for AO with NEP and also with observed FPAR. The time series correlation for AO with NPP was slightly higher at $r = 0.55$.

Regional correlation maps (Fig. 10a–c) show the areas where $r > 0.34$ for associations of the SOI, NINO1 + 2, and AO indices with our predicted NEP fluxes for the period 1982–1998. Seasonality in all time series records was removed before this analysis by computing a 12-month moving average. We find that deseasonalized NEP fluxes have strong correlations with SOI and NINO1 + 2 for 59% and 47%, of the Amazon regional area, respectively. Predicted NEP fluxes have strong correlations with AO for 20% of the regional area. The AO regional correlation with predicted NEP has a completely different coverage pattern than the NEP correlation pattern with either SOI or NINO1 + 2, which are similar in many respects.

For deseasonalized NPP anomalies, 45% and 19% of the Amazon regional area have strong correlations with SOI and AO indices, respectively. In comparison to these NASA–CASA model outputs, the FPAR input time series of deseasonalized anomalies have strong correlations with SOI and AO over 25% and 17% of the Amazon regional area, respectively. This implies that climate (PREC and TEMP) together with FPAR inputs to the NASA–CASA model are strongly influencing carbon flux correlations with SOI, whereas FPAR alone accounts for the strongest carbon flux correlations with AO.

The relative impacts of PREC and TEMP time series inputs (to the NASA–CASA carbon model) on correlations between climate indices SOI and AO with our predicted NEP fluxes can be examined further by comparison of Figs 6 and 10. For example, we find that nearly 89% of total Amazon regional area shown in Fig. 10a as having strong correlation of NEP with SOI also shows strong correlation of TEMP with SOI (Fig. 6a). This includes practically all of the forested area in the eastern half of the region. In comparison, 24% of total Amazon regional area shown in Fig. 10a as having strong correlation of NEP with SOI also shows strong correlation of DJF PREC with SOI (Fig. 6b), which is composed mainly of the northeastern forest area of the region. We can conclude therefore that the strongest correlations of predicted NEP with SOI over the 17-year time series can be attributed to the combined effects of PREC and TEMP inputs to our ecosystem model, but that the effects of ENSO-related TEMP variations alone on predicted NEP cannot be overlooked, especially in the southeastern forest area of the region.

In contrast to NEP and SOI results, we find that just 6% of the total Amazon regional area shown in Fig. 10c...
as having strong correlation of NEP with AO also shows strong correlation of DJF PREC with AO (Fig. 6c), while only 2% of total land area shown in Fig. 10c shows strong correlation of TEMP with AO (map not shown). Consequently, the great majority of the regional area shown in Fig. 10c as having strong NEP correlations with AO must result from FPAR inputs to the NASA–CASA carbon model, which also correlate strongly with AO over extensive areas of central and southern Brazil.

**Discussion and conclusions**

Ecosystem modeling studies like those of Tian *et al.* (1998) and Foley *et al.* (2002) have implied that major variations in the regional carbon balance of the Amazon are related chiefly to ENSO precipitation patterns. While our study results are consistent with these previous findings, mainly for the northern portion of the Amazon region, this analysis suggests that temperature effects of ENSO cycles and AO-related climate events can also have notable impacts on Amazon carbon fluxes over decade-long time periods. Specifically, NASA–CASA model anomalies from 1982–1998 for NPP and NEP over large areas of the Amazon region east of 60°W longitude are strongly correlated with the SOI. As the means to explain NEP anomalies, reasonably strong TEMP correlations with SOI are more widespread regionally than are strong PREC correlations with SOI. It is worth noting that NASA–CASA has the potential to generate results that are different than the two other models cited above, because NASA–CASA relies on satellite data as an input. The AVHRR time series is an observation of the actual land vegetation dynamics, which is lacking in the two other cited models, and which can be sensitive to variability on temperature as well as precipitation.

The tropical inland areas of central and southern Brazil that show strong correlations for FPAR, NPP, and NEP with AO (Fig. 9c) are noteworthy, particularly because these patterns in the 17-year satellite record of measured FPAR patterns can corroborate the findings of atmospheric simulation studies that have recently implied a strong influence of southern Atlantic SST anomalies on the NAO, with concurrent impacts on southern Amazon rainfall patterns (Robertson *et al.*, 2000). Our results here are an additional indicator of circulation and heating patterns associated with the South American monsoon system (SAMS), which may exert an important influence on the boreal winter subtropical jet over eastern North America, possibly through changes Amazon rainfall and regional Hadley circulations (Nogués-Paegle *et al.*, 1998). Several previous studies have addressed tropical North Atlantic variability, which directly impacts the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ) (Enfield & Mayer, 1997; Saravanan & Chang, 2000), and by the NAO (Namias, 1972). Many new studies are needed to address such variability over the South Atlantic, and the combined associations of ENSO, NAO, and the Antarctic Oscillation (AAO) on storm tracks over central and southern Brazil.

Ecosystem model results that are presented in the context of teleconnections with global climate processes can aid in understanding the mechanisms for sink fluxes of CO₂ in the terrestrial biosphere. Uncertainties remain to a large degree because the heterogeneity of land cover and ecosystem exchange processes have not been measured and mapped in adequate detail to determine precise geographic differences in sink/source controls (USGCRP, 1999; Watson *et al.*, 2000). Nonetheless, by a continuous process of integration and evaluation of ecosystem model predictions with ground-based measurements of carbon fluxes and remote sensing, such as those ongoing within the LBA program, these uncertainties are being narrowed rapidly, and the role of Amazonia in global climate change can be elucidated.
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